WARA – Yearly status report, planning and request for budget for coming year.

References
WARA 2.0 Strategy, Governance and Process
Arbetsordning för WASP Arena Management Group (AMG) ".

<u>Purpose of this document</u>

This document outlines the yearly report and request for funding for coming year for respective WASP Research Arena, WARA. It should not be confused with the evaluations which occurs after one year and in the third year of operations. The aim of the review is to follow up on how the initiative has fulfilled the approved program plan and the plans set forward in the previous yearly report.

The reports from all Arenas, will be reviewed at the same occasion by the University members of AMG and selected representatives from industry. The proposed result will be forwarded to the Executive committee and finally for approval by the WASP board.

Introduction

The WARA 2.0 is an evolution of the original WARA concept and a result of the strategy work in 2020. Central to the new concept are:

- Build on five to eight new smaller arenas with a more focused context and possibly limited in time.
- Seek and leverage on industrial/institutional motivation and ownership.
- Integration of WARA in other WASP instruments, e.g. in calls, expedition projects etc.
- Two categories of arenas have been identified: systems demonstration with high industrial relevance and general-purpose technology platforms to serve as research infrastructure.

A proposed Arena must:
A. Formulate a research agenda/challenge
B. Have a clear industrial/institutional owner
C. Engage at least two companies as well as academic institutions
D. Engage a number of PhDs and/or other WASP financed projects
E. Be open to affiliated research
F. Demonstrate results

The Yearly Report shall thus refer to above stated intentions as well as approved program plan and/or previous yearly report.

The Report shall contain – total maximum 3 pages

1. Status and Achievements from current year
   Describe in free text the program activities, projects, and achievements. Be specific on the academic value and industry engagement. How the program has contributed to research and the WASP graduate school as well as to establish a Swedish network on the research theme. Demonstration of results!

2. Plans for coming Year
   Outline the plans in terms projects and other activities to be executed and state expected results in terms of how the program has contributed to research and the WASP graduate school as well as to establish a Swedish network on the research theme. Demonstration of results!

3. Requested funding
   Specify and motivate requested funding in a short summary and fill in the attached budget document stating requested funding from WASP as well as the sources and estimated value of the co-financing.

Evaluation

Criteria
1 – Execution of planned activities
2 – Academic Research engagement
3 – Industry engagement
4 – Value for graduate school
5 – Demonstration of results
6 – Financial reporting

Grades:
1 – not satisfactorily
2 – needs improvement
3 – satisfactorily
4 – well performed
5 – exceeds expectations